



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.undo.oru

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/973,366	;€′09/2001	Christian Sameit	4100-275	5904	
75	690 07/21/2003	•	•		
COHEN, PONTANI. LIEBERMAN & PAVANE			EXAMINER		
Suite 1210 551 Fifth Avenu			CRENSHAW, MARVIN P		
New York, NY	10176		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2854	<del></del> -	

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.   SAMEIT ET AL.				$\mathcal{A}$				
Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Marvin P. Crenshaw   2854		09/973,366	SAMEIT ET AL.	,				
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estendinos of time may be available used the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(s), in rolevel, however, may a reply be timely filed  If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (50) days, a reply with the statutory minimum of thiny, (30) days, will be considered limely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (50) days, a reply with the statutory period vall against part of this communication.  False for reply specified above is less than thiny (50) days, a reply with the statutory period vall against (30) MONTH's from the maling date of this communication.  False for reply specified above is less than thiny (50) days, a reply with the statutory of the communication to become ASIANCHED (58 U.S.C. § 1.33).  False for the period of the communication of the communication to become ASIANCHED (58 U.S.C. § 1.33).  This action is FINAL.  2 b) ☑ This action is finAL.  2 claim(s) ☐ This is In	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Editations of time may be available ender the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - Editation of time may be available ender the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - If No period for reply is available ender the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - If the period for reply specified above, the maintening ender of the poly within the statulery minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely  - If No period for reply is apposited above, the maintening ender of the comment of the poly of the provision of the comment of the provision of the comment of the poly of the provision of the comment of the poly filed of the comment of the poly of the p								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 3 CPR 1.70(6). In no event, however, may a righty be timely filed  Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 3 CPR 1.70(6).  If the period for reply specified above is lists than thirty (30) days, a neply which the statulory prind with CPR (40) MONTH of the period for reply specified above is lists than thirty (30) days, a neply which the statulory prind with the prind of the period for reply will be predicted to the communication.  Fisher be rightly whith the set of extended period for reply will, by status, cause the application to become AchdeONED (63 U.S.C. § 133), activate the realized specified of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce sky example plant than adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 May 2003:  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 35-46 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-19 2.12.32.5-34.47 and 48 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 20.22 and 24 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 20.22 and 24 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 20.22 and 24 is/are objected to.  8) The proposed drawing (s) filed on 99 October 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  10) The proposed drawing correction filed on		on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	ress				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 35-46 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-19.21,23,25-34.47 and 48 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 1-19.21,23,25-34.47 and 48 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 20.22 and 24 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 20.22 and 24 is/are objected to.  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 09 October 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)	<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	CION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this cor.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 35-46 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ○ Claim(s) 1-19.21,23,25-34.47 and 48 is/are rejected.  7) ○ Claim(s) 20.22 and 24 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on 09 October 2001 is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n <u>02 May 2003</u> .						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.						
4)  Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 35-46 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-19.21,23,25-34,47 and 48 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 20.22 and 24 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 09 October 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)    Interview Summany (PTO-413) Paper No(s)    Online of References Cited (PTO-892)	closed in accordance with the practice u			merits is				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 35-46 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-19.21,23.25-34.47 and 48 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 20.22 and 24 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 09 October 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  15 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	· <u> </u>	cation						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on og October 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, , , <u></u>							
6)  Claim(s) 1-19,21,23,25-34,47 and 48 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 20,22 and 24 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 09 October 2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)    Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)    Objected to by the Examiner.		indrawn nom consideration.						
7)  Claim(s) 20.22 and 24 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 09 October 2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)    Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· <u> </u>	are rejected						
8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on 09 October 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 October 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 09 October 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 October 2001</u> i	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj	ected to by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		- ' '						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		• •						
13)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.	12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· ·	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received in	Application No					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>	• •						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	48) 5) Notice of						

Art Unit: 2854

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 1-34, 46 and 47 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 20, 22 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

With respect to claim 20, the prior art does not teach or render obvious the total combination as claimed including a system further comprising means for determining data about the damage status of the rubber-covered cylinder sleeves.

With respect to claim 24, the prior art does not teach or render obvious the total combination as claimed including a system further comprising means for calculating statistics about the probability of failure of the sleeves by means by said characteristic data stored in said main memory device.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 – 19, 21,23,33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakamura et al.

Nakamura et al teaches a system (See Abstract) for managing rubber-covered cylinder sleeves for an offset rotary printing machine, said system comprising a storage (See col. 5, lines 5-15) apparatus for storing said sleeves when not in use in said printing machine, a main electronic memory (10) device into which characteristics data for each sleeve can be entered, stored and managed, means (See col. 5, lines 49-56) for introducing the sleeves into the storage apparatus, means for reading (14) the characteristics data into the memory device as one of the sleeves is introduced into the storage apparatus and means (Col. 5, lines 49-56) for removing one of said sleeves from the storage apparatus in accordance with predefined data and said characteristic data.

With respect to claim 2, a system (Fig. 1) wherein said characteristic data comprise at least one of data which identify the individual sleeve and operating data and data about damage status.

With respect to claim 3, a system wherein said storage (Fig. 3B) has individual sleeve storage spaces which are arranged at least one of beside one another and above one

Art Unit: 2854

another and behind one another and such that they can circulate and such that they can be moved.

With respect to claim 4, a system wherein said individual sleeve storage (Fig. 3B) spaces can be moved to a storage and removal position.

With respect to claim 5, a system further comprising an identifier (32) arranged on each sleeve, said characteristic data for each sleeve being assignable to the respective identifier and readable into the main memory device at the time of storage.

With respect to claim 6, a system further comprising an auxiliary electronic memory (20)

device assigned to each said sleeve, said auxiliary electronic memory device carrying an identity number by means of which the characteristic data can be assigned to the respective sleeve and read into the main memory device at the time of storage.

With respect to claim 7, a system (Fig. 6) wherein said identity number can be read from the auxiliary memory device into the main memory device at the time of storage.

With respect to claim 8, a system (Fig. 6) further comprising an auxiliary electronic memory device assigned to each said sleeve, said characteristic data being stored on the auxiliary memory device and read into the main memory device at the time of storage.

With respect to claim 9, a system further comprising one of a data reader (30) and a scanner (24E) by means of which the identifier can be read into the main memory device, at least one of the characteristic data that can be assigned to the identifier and changed characteristic data and data about damage status being exchangeable between the main memory device and computing and memory devices of printing

Art Unit: 2854

machines, and being stored on the respective memory devices.

With respect to claim 10, a system further comprising a data reader (30) by means of which the identity number can be read from the auxiliary memory device into the main memory device, at least one of the characteristic data that can be assigned to the identifier and changed characteristic data and data about damage status being exchangeable between the main memory device and computing and memory devices of printing machines, and being stored on the respective memory devices.

With respect to claim 11, a system comprising (Fig. 1) one of said data readers

associated with said storage apparatus and with each of a plurality of printing units. With respect to claim 12, a system wherein said data reader (30) are configured as data exchange devices, whereby said characteristic data can be exchanged between the main memory device and the computing and memory devices of printing machines and stored.

With respect to claim 13, a system (Fig. 1) wherein said auxiliary memory device provides means for data transmission and exchange between the main memory device and computing and memory devices of the printing machines.

With respect to claim 14, a system wherein said auxiliary memory device (20) comprises a transponder.

With respect to claim 15, a system (Fig. 1) wherein data is read from said auxiliary memory device without contact.

With respect to claim 16, a system (Fig. 1, 10) further comprising computing and memory devices of a printing machine, said main memory device being integrated into

Art Unit: 2854

at least one of said computing and memory devices.

With respect to claim 17, a system (Fig. 1, 10) further comprising computing and memory devices for printing machines, said main memory device and said computing and memory devices being integrated into at least one memory unit.

With respect to claim 18, a system further comprising a transport apparatus (Fig. 2A) for transporting the sleeves from the storage apparatus to the printing machine.

With respect to claim 19, a system further comprising means (32) for identifying the sleeves arranged on the storage apparatus.

With respect to claim 21, a system further comprising a hand-held input device (16) into which data about the damage status of the sleeves can be entered.

With respect to claim 23, a system further comprising means (Fig. 6) for automatically initiating and carrying out ordering of new sleeves by means by said characteristic data stored in said main memory device.

With respect to claim 33, Nakamura et al. teaches a system (Fig. 1) wherein said main electronic memory device comprises an interface which is accessible from an external location.

With respect to claim 34, Nakamura et al. teaches a system (Fig. 6) wherein the characteristic data stored in the main memory device can be called up from the external location via the interface, and new characteristic data can be transmitted into the memory device via the interface and stored.

Claims 47 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fraczek.

Fraczek et al. teaches a method of managing rubber-covered cylinder sleeves (Fig. 1) for offset printing, said method comprising equipping each said sleeve with an identifier (3), assigning characteristic data about each sleeve to the respective identifier, reading (4) said characteristic data from said identifiers into a computing and memory device of a rotary printing machine, transmitting (Fig.1) said characteristic data from said computing and memory (5)devices to a main memory device of a storage apparatus for said sleeves, and storing said characteristic data in said main memory device.

Fraczek teaches a method of managing rubber-covered cylinder sleeves for offset printing, said method comprising equipping each said sleeve with an auxiliary memory device (3), applying characteristic data about each said sleeve to the respective memory device while said sleeve is still located in a printing unit, and transmitting (Fig. 1) said characteristic data from said auxiliary memory device to a main memory device of a storage apparatus for said sleeves when said sleeve is stored in said storage apparatus.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2854

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25, 26,29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al. in view of Fraczek.

Nakamura et al. teaches all that is claimed in the above rejection of claims 1 – 19, 21,23,33 and 34 except an auxiliary electronic memory device on the sleeve. Fraczek teaches a system further comprising an auxiliary electronic memory device (3) arranged on each said sleeve, said characteristic data being stored on the auxiliary memory device and read into the main memory device at the time of storage. It would have been obvious to modify Nakamura et al. to have a system having an auxiliary electronic memory device on the sleeve as taught by Fraczek to communicate the status of usage of the sleeve and location of the of the sleeve while being stored from the main system.

With respect to claim 26, Nakamura et al. teaches a system (Fig. 1) further comprising a main data exchange device (12) by means of which the characteristic data can be read from the auxiliary memory device into the main memory device, and at least one of new, characteristic data and changed characteristic data and data from the main memory device can be written and stored on the auxiliary memory device.

With respect to claim 29, Nakamura et al. teaches a system (Fig. 6) wherein each said auxiliary memory device comprises a transponder.

With respect to claim 30, Nakamura et al. teaches a system (Fig. 1) wherein data is between said auxiliary memory device and said main data exchange device without contact.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/973,366

Art Unit: 2854

Claims 27, 28, 31 and 32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al. in view of Fraczek and Schmid et al.

Nakamura et al. as modified by Fraczek teaches all that is claimed, as discussed in the above rejections of claims25,26,29 and 30, except a printing machine with a computing and memory device.

Schmid et al. teaches a system (fig. 1) further comprising a computing and memory device (118) for each printing machine and an auxiliary data exchange device (116) arranged in each printing unit, said characteristic data being read from the main memory device into the computing and memory device by said auxiliary data exchange device, and at least one of new characteristic data and changed characteristic data from the computing and memory device can be written on said auxiliary memory device. a system further comprising a computing and memory device (118) for each printing machine, said auxiliary memory device providing data exchange between the computing and memory device and the main memory device, a system further comprising a computing and memory device (118) for each printing machine, and means (118) for determining data about the damage status of the rubber-covered cylinder sleeves and transmitting the data to at least one of the main memory device and the computing and memory devices and a system further comprising a computing and memory device for each printing machine and a hand-held input (138) device into which data about the damage status of the sleeves can be entered and transmitted to at least one of the main memory device and the computing and memory devices.

Art Unit: 2854

It would have been obvious to modify Nakamara et al. as modified by Fraczek to have a printing machine with a computing and memory device as taught by Schmid et al. to be able to communicate between the storage apparatus about the status and condition of the sleeve.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marvin P. Crenshaw whose telephone number is (703) 308-0797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

MPC

July 14, 2003

ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 10